Human patient simulation manikins and information and communication technology: Use and quality indicators in Australian schools of nursing.

Candidate: Ms Carol Arthur, BN, DipApSc (Nursing)

Thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Philosophy (Nursing)

Date

30<sup>th</sup> September, 2013

## **Statement of Originality**

The thesis contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the University's Digital Repository, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Carol Arthur

**Declaration: Thesis by Publication** 

I hereby certify that this thesis is submitted in the form of a series of published papers of which I am a joint author. I have included as part of the thesis a written statement from each co-author; and endorsed by the Faculty Assistant Dean (Research Training), attesting to my contribution to these joint publications.

ii

Carol Arthur

#### iii

## Acknowledgements

I would very much like to acknowledge the unstinting support given to me by my project supervisors, Associate Professor Ashley Kable and Professor Tracy Levett-Jones. I would also like to thank Amanda Morris for her assistance with the online surveys and Natasha Baker for help with formatting the thesis document. I would particularly like to thank my son, Carl Ruz, for his support, both emotionally and practically, throughout the entire project.

I would also like to thank all the study participants, both those who completed the survey of Australian schools of nursing, and also the members of the expert Delphi panel, for their time and the insights provided.

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis has been conducted as part of an Australian Learning and Teaching Council (ALTC) funded project (Project number CG10-1678). As such this work has been conducted solely by myself as the Master of Philosophy candidate, with consultation with the project group members and project reference group being utilised only for advice and validation of the survey instruments. I would like to thank the project and reference group members for their contribution.

Carol Arthur

#### iv

## List of publications included as part of the thesis

Arthur, C., Kable, A. and Levett-Jones, T. (2011). Human patient simulation manikins and information communication technology use in Australian schools of nursing: A cross-sectional survey. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing* 7(6), e219-27.

## Citations: 6

Arthur, C., Levett-Jones, T. and Kable, A. (2013). Quality indicators for the design and implementation of simulation experiences: A Delphi study. *Nurse Education Today*, *33*(11), 1357-1361.

#### Citations: 2

## Statement of contribution and collaboration for Thesis Paper One:

Arthur, C., Kable, A., and Levett-Jones, T., (2011). Human patient simulation manikins and information communication technology use in Australian schools of nursing: A crosssectional survey. Clinical Simulation in Nursing 7(6), e219-27.

In the case of paper one the nature and extent of contribution to the work was the following:

Carol Arthur prepared and submitted the application for ethics approval for the research to proceed, reviewed the literature, developed the survey instrument, conducted the online survey, analysed the data and drafted the manuscript for publication. Associate Professor Ashley Kable and Professor Tracy Levett-Jones contributed to the above in their capacity of the role of Master of Philosophy supervisors.

Candi	atch	'e ci	anatı	Iro.

Carthur Date: 8/9/13

#### Declaration by co-authors

The undersigned hereby certify that:

- 1. the above declaration correctly reflects the nature and extent of the candidate's contribution to this work, and the nature of the contribution of the co-authors;
- 2. they meet the criteria for authorship in that they have participated in the conception, execution, interpretation and publication in their field of expertise;
- 3. they take public responsibility for their part in the publication, except for the responsible author who accepts overall responsibility for the publication;
- 4. there are no other authors of the publication according to these criteria;
- 5. any potential conflicts of interest have been disclosed to (a) granting bodies, (b) the editor or publisher of journals or publications, and (c) the head of the responsible academic unit.

Associate Professor Ashley Kable Date: 3/9/2013

Signature 2.

Professor Tracy Levett-Jones Date: 3/9/13.

Authorised by:

Professor Robert Callister, ADRT, FOH&M Date: 11.09.2013

## Statement of contribution and collaboration for Thesis Paper Two:

Arthur, C., Levett-Jones, T., and Kable, A. (2012). Quality indicators for the design and implementation of simulation experiences: A Delphi study. Nurse Education Today.doi:10.1016/nedt.2012.07.012

In the case of paper one the nature and extent of contribution to the work was the following:

Carol Arthur prepared and submitted the application for ethics approval for the research to proceed, reviewed the literature, developed the Delphi instruments, conducted the online Delphi rounds, analysed the data and drafted the manuscript for publication. Associate Professor Ashley Kable and Professor Tracy Levett-Jones contributed to the above in their capacity of the role of Master of Philosophy supervisors.

Candidate's signature:

Carol Arthur Date: 3/9/13

#### Declaration by co-authors

The undersigned hereby certify that:

- the above declaration correctly reflects the nature and extent of the candidate's contribution to this work, and the nature of the contribution of the co-authors;
- 2. they meet the criteria for authorship in that they have participated in the conception, execution, interpretation and publication in their field of expertise;
- 3. they take public responsibility for their part in the publication, except for the responsible author who accepts overall responsibility for the publication;
- 4. there are no other authors of the publication according to these criteria;
- any potential conflicts of interest have been disclosed to (a) granting bodies, (b) the editor or publisher of journals or publications, and (c) the head of the responsible academic unit.

Signature 1.

Associate Professor Ashley Kable Date: 3/9/2013

Professor Tracy Levett-Jones Date: 3/9/13

Authorised by: Professor Robert Callister, ADRT, FOH&M Date:

List of additional publications related to the study and co-authored by the candidate, but not included as part of the thesis

#### **Journal Articles:**

Levett-Jones, T., Lapkin, S., Hoffman, K., Arthur, C. and Roche, J. (2011). A comparison of knowledge acquisition in students exposed to medium versus high fidelity human patient simulation manikins. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *11*,380-383.

Levett-Jones, T., McCoy, M., Lapkin, S., Noble, D., Hoffman, K., Dempsey, J., Arthur, C. and Roche, J. (2011). The development and psychometric testing of the satisfaction with simulation experience scale. *Nurse Education Today* 31(7) 705-710.

#### Citations: 15

Levett-Jones, T., Lapkin, S., Hoffman, K., Arthur, C. and Roche, J. (2011). Examining the impact of high fidelity simulation experiences on nursing students' knowledge acquisition. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 11(6), 380-383.

#### Citations: 15

Arthur, C., Levett-Jones, T., and Kable, A. (2011). Quality indicators for the design and implementation of simulation experiences. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing* 7(6), e246.

Kable, A., Arthur, C. and Levett-Jones, T. and Reid-Searl, K. (2013). Student evaluation of simulation in undergraduate nursing programs in Australia using quality indicators. *Nursing and Health Sciences* 15(2), 235-243.

#### Citations: 4

McAllister, M., Levett-Jones, T., Downer, T., Harrison, P., Harvey, T., Reid-Searl, K., Lynch, K., Arthur, C., Layh, J. and Calleja, P. (2013). Snapshots of Simulation: Creative strategies used by Australian educator to enhance simulation learning experiences for nursing students. *Nurse Education in Practice*. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2013.04.010

### **Online publications:**

Arthur, C., Levett-Jones, T., and Kable, A. (2010). *Quality indicators for the design and implementation of simulation experiences*, available at <a href="http://www.newcastle.edu.au/project/clinical-reasoning">http://www.newcastle.edu.au/project/clinical-reasoning</a>

### **Conference Presentations:**

Arthur, C., Kable, A., Levett-Jones, T. (2009). Human patient simulation manikin and information communication technology use in Australian nurse education. Third International Clinical Skills Conference, Prato, Italy. 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2009.

Arthur, C., Kable, A., Levett-Jones, T. and Bourgeois, S. (2009). Human patient simulation manikin and information communication technology use in Australian nurse education. Australasian Nurse Educators Conference, Christchurch, N.Z. 30<sup>th</sup> September-2<sup>nd</sup> October, 2009.

Hoffman, K., Dempsey, J., Levett-Jones, T., Hunter, S., Hickey, N., Roche, J., Arthur, C., Jeong, Y. and Norton, C. (2009). Enhancing nursing students' clinical reasoning skills through their engagement with computerised decision support frameworks.

Australasian Nurse Educators Conference, Christchurch, N.Z. 30<sup>th</sup> September-2<sup>nd</sup> October, 2009.

Arthur, C., Levett-Jones, T. and Kable, A. (2010). Australian simulation and technology survey. 3<sup>rd</sup> International Nurse Education Conference, Sydney. 11<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> April, 2010.

Arthur, C., Roche, J. Levett-Jones, T., Hoffman, K., Kable, A. and Hunter, S. (2010). The simulation "Pot of Gold"; How should we spend it? SimTecT Health 2010, Melbourne. 30<sup>th</sup> August-2<sup>nd</sup> September, 2010. **Awarded Best Poster Abstract** 

Arthur, C., Levett-Jones, T. and Kable, A. (2011). Quality indicators for the design and implementation of simulation experiences. Presentation at Fourth International Clinical Skills Conference, Prato, Italy, 22<sup>nd</sup>- 25<sup>th</sup> May, 2011.

Arthur, C., Levett-Jones, T. and Kable, A. (2011). Quality indicators for the design and implementation of simulation experiences. Presentation at 10<sup>th</sup> Annual International Nursing Simulation/ Learning Resource Center Conference, Orlando, USA, 15<sup>th</sup> – 18<sup>th</sup> June, 2011.

Arthur, C., Kable, A. and Levett-Jones, T. (2012). The application and testing of quality indicators for the design and implementation of simulation experiences. 4<sup>th</sup> National Nurse Education Conference, Perth, 11<sup>th</sup>- 13<sup>th</sup> April, 2012.

Kable, A., Arthur, C., Levett-Jones, T. and Reid-Searl, K. (2013). Student evaluation of simulation in undergraduate nursing program using quality indicators: A pilot study. Presentation at Fifth International Clinical Skills Conference, Prato, Italy, 19<sup>th</sup>- 22<sup>nd</sup> May, 2013.

## **Symposium presentation:**

Arthur, C., Levett-Jones, T. and Kable, A. (2010). Identifying quality indicators for the use of human patient simulation manikins and ICT: A Delphi study. Simulation and Beyond Symposium, School of Nursing and Midwifery, The University of Newcastle, November 25-26<sup>th</sup>, 2010.

# **Table of Contents**

Synop	sis	14
Chapte	er 1 Introduction and Overview	16
1.1	Introduction	.16
1.2	Background to the study	.18
1.2.1	Contemporary challenges in the clinical learning environment	.18
1.2.2	The role of the clinical laboratory and simulation activities in nursing education	.18
1.2.3	Development of new human patient simulation manikin (HPSM) technologies	. 19
1.2.4	The role of information communication technology (ICT) within clinical laboratories	
	nulation environments	
1.3	Introduction to the study design	
1.3.1	Aim of the research	
1.3.2	Research design	
1.4	Structure of the thesis	
1.4.1	Summary of thesis structure	.24
Chapte	er 2 Literature Review	25
2.1	Introduction	. 25
2.2	Search strategy	.26
2.3	Human Patient Simulation Manikins	.27
2.3.1	Current usage of HPSM	.27
2.3.2	Pedagogical principles	.30
2.3.3	Theoretical frameworks	.32
2.3.4	Effectiveness of simulation as a teaching strategy	.38
2.3.5	Indicators of quality use of HPSM.	.57
2.3.6	Use of simulation for student assessment and remediation.	.70
2.4	Information communication technology	.72
2.4.1	Current use of ICT in nursing programs.	.73
2.4.2	Use of ICT by students in clinical placements	.75
2.4.3	Best practice recommendations for ICT education	.78
2.4.4	Curriculum and simulation integration of ICT	.80
2.5	Literature review – summary and conclusions	.83
2.6	Limitations of studies reviewed	.88
2.7	Conclusion	.88
2.8	Justification for the study	.89
Chapte	er 3 Research Design	90
3.1	Introduction	.90
3.2	Study aims	.90
3.3	Pragmatism as a research paradigm and theoretical framework	.91
3.4	Study design – mixed method research	
3.5	The cross sectional survey	
3.5.1	Aims of the cross sectional survey	

3.5.2	Survey as a research method	94
3.5.3	Development of the survey instrument	94
3.5.4	Cross sectional survey sample and recruitment process	96
3.5.5	Data collection and analysis	97
3.6	The Delphi study	98
3.6.1	Aims of the Delphi study	98
3.6.2	Delphi study design	98
3.6.3	Selection and recruitment of the Delphi expert panel	99
3.6.4	Development of the Delphi instruments	100
3.6.5	Data analysis	102
3.6.6	Data storage for the study	103
3.7	Ethical considerations	103
3.8	Study timetable	105
3.8.1	Stage one – cross sectional survey	105
3.8.2	Stage two –Delphi study	105
Chapte	er 4 Survey Results as Published in Clinical Simulation in Nursing	106
4.1	Abstract	106
4.2	Introduction	107
4.3	Background	107
4.4	Study aims	110
4.5	Research design	110
4.6	Results	111
4.6.1	Clinical laboratory facilities	111
4.6.2	Types of HPSM used and extent of use for teaching and assessment	112
4.6.3	Clinical laboratory staffing and staff responsibilities for simulation and technology.	114
4.6.4 simula	Pedagogical principles, processes and frameworks for medium and high fidelity tions	117
4.6.5	Use of ICT in clinical laboratories	
4.6.6	Evaluation and research	
4.7	Discussion	
4.8	Conclusion	
Chapte		
5.1	Abstract	
5.2	Introduction	
5.3	Background / Literature	
5.4	Method	
5.4.1	Round 1: Development of the questionnaire tool	
5.4.2	The study sample and participation	
5.4.3	Round 1: Data	
5.4.4	Round 2: Questionnaire development and analysis of results.	
5.4.5	Round 3: Verification of quality indicator statements	
5.5	Delphi results: Quality Indicator Statements	
5.5.1	Pedagogical principles:	

5.5.2	Fidelity:	133
5.5.3	Student preparation and orientation:	133
5.5.4	Staff preparation and training:	134
5.5.5	Debriefing:	134
5.6	Discussion	135
5.6.1	Limitations	137
5.7	Conclusion	138
Chapte	er 6 Discussion and Conclusion	. 139
6.1	Achievement of the study aims	139
6.2	Simulation staffing	140
6.3	Student centric quality indicators and comparison to Jeffries' framework	142
6.4	Impact of cost of HPSM and relationship to choice of teaching strategies and lum implications	143
6.5	Use of simulation as a means of assessment	
6.6	Integration of ICT into simulation activities	
6.7	Application of the quality indicator statements to guide design, implementation and	
	tion of simulation activities	
6.8	Significance of the research	147
6.9	Comparison of quality indicator statements to INASCL standards of best practice	148
6.10	Trustworthiness of the study findings	150
6.11	Strengths and limitations of the study	151
6.12	Recommendations for future implementation and research	152
6.13	Conclusion	153
Refere	ence List	. 155
Appen	dix I – Survey Letter of Invitation to Heads of School	.174
Appen	dix II – Survey Reminder Letter to Heads of School	.176
Appen	dix III – Survey Information Statement	.178
Appen	dix IV – Survey Instrument Validation Process	. 185
Appen	dix V – Survey Instrument	. 191
	idix VI – Survey Results Data	
Appen	dix VII – Delphi Information Statement	.264
Appen	dix VIII – Delphi Questionnaire – Round 1	.270
Appen	dix IX – Analysis of round 1 Delphi results	.279
Appen	dix X – Feedback to Participants following Round 1	.283
Appen	dix XI – Delphi Questionnaire Round 2	. 292
Appen	dix XII – Mean Score Results of Rankings for Round 2 Delphi Questionnaire	300
	idix XIII – Top Quality Indicators	
	idix XIV – Quality Indicator Statements	
	idix XV – Definitions	
PP-11		

## Table of Tables

Table 1: Summary of Thesis Structure	24
Table 2: Cross Sectional Survey Timeframe	105
Table 3: Delphi Study Timeframe	105
Table 4: Table 1 - Targeted learning objectives (n=15)	113
Table 5: Table 2 – Staff roles and responsibilities (n= 12)	116
Table of Figures	
Figure 1: The Nursing Education Simulation Framework	33
Figure 2: Figure 1 – Comparison of student numbers to laboratory numbers	112

# **Synopsis**

Against a background of escalating complexity within the Australian health care system related to the health needs of an ageing population, combined with a shortage of nurses, Australian schools of nursing have been asked to provide education and training for increasing numbers of students. However busy and at times overstretched clinical venues with high levels of patient acuity and a lack of experienced nursing staff have resulted in clinical learning environments that are unpredictable in quality and availability. Simulation has been proffered as a strategy that can address some of these issues.

Simulation in its simplest forms has been used for many years in nursing education. Technological advances over the last decade have provided high fidelity human patient simulation manikins (HPSM) that are able to mimic patients' physiological changes as well as provide life-like characteristics such as breathing, blinking and talking. These manikins, along with advances in information communication technology (ICT), provide increased opportunities for nursing students to engage in realistic clinical scenarios in a safe learning environment. In particular, the ability to simulate the physiological changes occurring in a deteriorating patient, combined with student access to current information through ICT, provides a learning experience that has the potential to improve higher order thinking, clinical reasoning and clinical communication, as well as basic psychomotor skills.

At the inception of this study in 2009 Australian schools of nursing were beginning to embrace HPSM and ICT as new and exciting teaching strategies, but little was known about the way in which these new strategies were being utilised. There was also a lack of clear direction as to what constituted quality teaching in the use of simulation manikins and ICT. The overall aims of this study were therefore to explore the use of simulation and ICT in Australian schools of nursing undergraduate programs, in particular in relation to clinical laboratory and simulation unit activities, and to determine what constituted quality use of simulation and ICT for teaching and assessment of undergraduate nursing students.

A pragmatic, mixed method approach was adopted to achieve the stated aims, with the study conducted in two phases. A cross sectional survey of Australian schools of nursing provided a snapshot of current use of simulation and ICT. This was followed by a Delphi study, in which an international panel of experts were utilised to achieve consensus regarding what constituted quality in the use of HPSM and ICT in simulation learning activities within an undergraduate nursing curriculum. The outcome of this study was a set of Quality Indicator Statements which can be used to guide the design and implementation of simulation activities within nursing curricula, as well as evaluate the quality of existing simulation programs. These statements have demonstrated applicability to a range of simulation modalities and have potential for use in nursing education, research and policy development.

This thesis is present as a hybrid thesis by publication. A comprehensive literature review and an overview of the study method are provided. These are followed by two chapters that present published papers, including findings from the cross sectional survey and the Delphi study. The final chapter draws together key aspects of both phases of the study and discusses the overall significance and implications.